| 1  | IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER   |
|----|---|
| 2  | OF THE  |
| 3  | STATE OF NEVADA   |
| 4  | INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT   |
| 5  | SUBMITTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH NRS 233B.066 UPON ADOPTION                                     |
| 6  | IN CHAPTER 534 OF THE NEVADA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE  |
| 7  | OF A REGULATION FOR WATER WELL AND RELATED DRILLING   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  | May 25, 2006  |
| 10 |   |
| 11 | Comment on the above-referenced regulation was solicited beginning on                       |
| 12 | February 23, 2006, by Notice of Workshops and Hearings set for March 27, 2006 in            |
| 13 | Carson City, March 29, 2006 in Elko and March 31, 2006 in Las Vegas. The notice was         |
| 14 | mailed to 467 currently licensed well drillers and over 175 individuals and companies on    |
| 15 | an industry mailing list and was also posted in public buildings throughout the state.      |
| 16 | An informal workshop was conducted on March 8, 2006 with representatives of                 |
| 17 | the Nevada Groundwater Association where the intent of the proposed regulation and          |
| 18 | timeline and procedure for providing comment was discussed. Workshops were also             |
| 19 | conducted during the first half of each of the above-referenced hearing dates and the       |
| 20 | hearing was conducted in the second half of each of those dates. A significant number       |
| 21 | of individuals from the regulated industry and the general public (29 in Carson City, 47 in |
| 22 | Elko and 9 in Las Vegas) provided both oral and written comments on the changes in          |
| 23 | the proposed regulation. The audio recording of these sessions, the minutes of the          |
| 24 | hearing and the written comments are available for review in the Office of the State        |
| 25 | Engineer. The majority of the comment concerned the workability of some of the new          |

| 2  | driller license renewal specified in the new rule.   |
|----|--|
| 3  | The period for submitting written comment was extended at the hearing to May 1,              |
| 4  | 2006. In addition to the hearing a final informal meeting was held in Carson City on May     |
| 5  | 22, 2006 to discuss the agency response to comment where 9 people attended.                  |
| 6  | The proposed regulation was approved by the legislative counsel and was then                 |
| 7  | amended to incorporate comments. The draft regulation extensively incorporated the           |
| 8  | public and industry comment received within the time frame allowed.                          |
| 9  | Immediate and long-term beneficial economic effects of this regulation are                   |
| 10 | improvements in the quality of the product delivered by the licensed well drillers as a      |
| 11 | result of continuing education and a reduction in the costs of well plugging with            |
| 12 | additional clarification and specificity in the existing code.                               |
| 13 | Immediate and long-term adverse economic effects of this regulation are the                  |
| 14 | additional cost to the licensed well driller to take time away from normal business activity |
| 15 | to attend continuing education.  |
| 16 | The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of this regulation is expected              |
| 17 | to be substantially the same as the cost for enforcement of the existing regulation.         |
| 18 | This regulation is consistent with but may overlap some of the provisions of the             |
| 19 | regulation of the Division of Minerals adopted in NAC 534A.                                  |
| 20 | Respectfully submitted,  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | Hugh Ricci, P.E.   |
| 24 | State Engineer   |
| 25 | May 25, 2006   |

procedures for assessing demerit points and approval of continuing credit hours for well

1